

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM –BATCH 2019-22

School of Commerce

BBA AVIATION

S.No	Questions	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Was the syllabus relevant to your course?	3	10	26	30	31
2	Was the syllabus updated enough?	2	15	47.95	35.05	0
3	Was the course content delivery	5	15.75	47	32.25	0
CY III	interesting?					
4	Did the course curriculum intellectually	7.91	17.65	42.99	31.45	0
IQAC	stirnulate you?					
5	Was the course curriculum fulfilling your	2.43	11.85	45.57	40.15	0
WGALOY	expectations?					
6	Have you learnt any skills in the due	2	23.17	43	31.83	0
	course of your study?					
7	Does the syllabus create any interest to					
	pursue post-graduation/research in the	0	16.45	49	34.55	0
	particular topic?					
8	How do you rate the courses that you					
	have learnt suiting the requirements of	2	19	51	28	0
	the Industry?					



9	How do you rate the learning experience in terms of their relevance to the real life applications?	0	20	52	28	0
10	How do you rate the courses that you have learnt in relation to your current job?	10	28	2	60	0

FIGURES IN PERCENTAGES








(Established under the Presidency University Act, 2013 of the Karnataka Act 41 of 2013)

Alumni feedback analysis for batch 2019-20







FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22 School of Commerce BBA – AVIATION

SL No	Question	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Syllabus is suitable to the course	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
2	Syllabus is need based	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5
3	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74
4	The courses / syllabus has good balance between theory and application	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5
5	The course program of studies carries sufficient number of optional papers	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74
6	The books prescribed / listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate	34.24	43.92	17.12	4.22	0.5
7	Tests and examinations are conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5
8	I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus	40.45	35.98	17.62	3.97	1.98
9	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/strategies of teaching such as seminar presentations, group discussions and learner's participations	46.15	34.74	15.63	2.73	0.75




The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research	29.53	35.98	20.1	9.43	4.96	
---	-------	-------	------	------	------	--







FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS AY -2021-22







INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22

School of Commerce

BBA - AVIATION

S.No	Questions	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Adequacy of the Core Courses	25.7	42.1	23.5	7.5	1.2
2	Practical Content in the Curriculum	34.3	35.1	17.5	11.1	2
3	Fulfilment of needs	27.5	41.95	20.6	7.95	2
4	Clear idea about the purpose of the Course	45.9	30.1	15.17	6.85	1.98
5	Curriculum proved useful at workplace	34.75	35.25	23	5.95	1.05
IQAC	Was the Curriculum followed by the Employee relevant to Employability	43.5	35.04	15.01	5.12	1.33
TO ALCO	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving Students performance with respect to general communication	37.4	33.6	18	9.7	1.3
8	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving Students performance with respect to their planning and organization skills	41.95	36.4	15.99	4	1.66
9	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving Students performance with respect to developing practical solutions to work place problems	45.35	33	17.65	2	2




10	Was the Curriculum helpful in in building					
	Entrepreneurial motives which helps the	45.15	34.74	16.63	2.73	0.75
	Students for starting their ventures					







INDUSTRY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS FOR AY 2021-22







School of Commerce

Student Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2021-22


BBA - AVIATION

SL	Question	5	4	3	2	1
No		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
		%	%	%	%	%
1	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied?	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
2	Course Applicability /relevance to real life situations (Employability)	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5
3	Learning value in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge and analytical abilities	35.24	41.93	20.1	2.48	0.25
4	How do you rate the sequence of the Courses that you have studied in the previous semester?	19	34	33	11	3
5 PRE	How do you ate the sequence of the units in the course?	21	35.9	33.65	7.95	1.5
6	terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	22	31	33	11	3
7	How do you rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books to the Courses?	40.45	35.98	17.62	3.97	1.98
8	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?	46.15	34.74	15.63	2.73	0.75
9	How do you rate the experiments in relation to the real life applications?	18	27.97	40	11.03	3
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	33.24	41.92	17.12	7.22	0.5



School of Commerce

Student feedback analysis AY – 2021-22







ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM –BATCH 2019-22

School of Commerce

BBA

S.No	Questions	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Was the syllabus relevant to your course?	5	17	21	26	31
2	Was the syllabus updated enough?	1	17	47.95	34.05	0
3	Was the course content delivery	5	15.75	45	32.25	0
	interesting?					
4	Did the course curriculum intellectually	5.91	17.65	44.99	31.45	0
CYUN	stimulate you?					
IQAC	was the course curriculum fulfilling your	2.43	11.85	45.57	40.15	0
10/10	expectations?					
WGALO		3	23	43	31	0
	course of your study?					
7	Does the syllabus create any interest to	_				
	pursue post-graduation/research in the	5	11	50	34	0
	particular topic?					
8	How do you rate the courses that you	_				_
	have learnt suiting the requirements of	7	15	50	28	0
	the Industry?					
9	How do you rate the learning experience					
	in terms of their relevance to the real life	0	17	55	28	0
	applications?					



10	How do you rate the courses that you					
	have learnt in relation to your current	9	29	3	59	0
	job?					

FIGURES IN PERCENTAGES








(Established under the Presidency University Act, 2013 of the Karnataka Act 41 of 2013)

Alumni feedback analysis for batch 2019-20







FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22 School of Commerce

BBA


SL No	Question	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
			Good			
1	Syllabus is suitable to the course	28.27	41.68	25.81	2.99	1.25
2	Syllabus is need based	29.28	45.67	21.83	2.97	0.25
3	Aims and cojectives on the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
4	The courses / syllabus has good balance between theory and application	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5
5	The course / program of studies carries sufficient number of optional papers	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74
6	The books prescribed / listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5
7	Tests and examinations are conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5
8	I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus	40.45	35.98	17.62	3.97	1.98



9	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/strategies of teaching such as seminar presentations, group discussions and learner's participations	46.15	34.74	15.63	2.73	0.75
10	The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research	27.53	34.98	23.1	9.43	4.96



Faculty feedback analysis AY 2021-22





INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22

School of Commerce

BBA


S.No	Questions	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
			Good			
1	Adequacy of the Core Courses	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74
2	Practical Content in the Curriculum	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5
3	Fulfilment of needs	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5
4	Clear idea about the purpose of the	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74
	Course					
ACY UN	Curriculum proved useful at workplace	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5
IQAC	Was the Curriculum followed by the	34.24	43.92	17.12	4.22	0.5
	in ployee relevant to Employability					
WGALO	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5
	general communication					
8	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	40.45	35.97	17.62	3.97	1.99
	their planning and organization skills					
9	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	46.15	34.74	15.64	2.73	0.74
	developing practical solutions to work		1			
	place problems					



10	Was the Curriculum helpful in in building					
	Entrepreneurial motives which helps the	14	23	35	20	8
	Students for starting their ventures					



INDUSTRY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS FOR AY 2021-22





School of Commerce

Student Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2021-22

BBA


SL	Question	5	4	3	2	1
No		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
		%	%	%	%	%
1	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied?	22.94	34.47	32.76	5.94	3.89
2	Course Applicability /relevance to real life situations (Employability)	20	33.36	34	9.74	2.9
3	Learning value in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge and analytical abilities	22	34	33.5	9.5	1
8	How do you rate the sequence of the Courses that you have studied in the previous semester?	19	34	33	11	3
5	How do you ate the sequence of the units in the	21.75	33	36	8	1.25
6	How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	20.78	37.81	27.2	11.89	2.32
7	How do you rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books to the Courses?	13.99	23	35	20	8.1
8	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?	13.21	22	35.79	16	13
9	How do you rate the experiments in relation to the real life applications?	18.95	28	40	10.05	3 REC
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the	29.28	44.67	22.83	2.97	0.25

courses?



School of Commerce

Student feedback analysis for AY 2021-22







ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM –BATCH 2019-22

School of Commerce

BCOM

S.No	Questions	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Was the syllabus relevant to your course?	2	23.17	43	31.83	0
2	Was the syllabus updated enough?	0	16.45	49	34.55	0
3	Was the course content delivery interesting?	2	19	51	28	0
4 ENCY UN	Did the course curriculum intellectually stimulate you?	5.91	15.65	46.99	31.45	0
IQAC	Was the course curriculum fulfilling your expectations?	1.43	11.85	45.57	41.15	0
WGALO	Have you learnt any skills in the due course of your study?	5	27	41	27	0
7	Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post-graduation/research in the particular topic?	1	15	49	35	0
8	How do you rate the courses that you have learnt suiting the requirements of the Industry?	5	17	21	26	31
9	How do you rate the learning experience in terms of their relevance to the real life applications?	1	17	47.95	34.05	0




10	How do you rate the courses that you					
	have learnt in relation to your current	5	15.75	45	32.25	0
	job?					



(Established under the Presidency University Act, 2013 of the Karnataka Act 41 of 2013)

Alumni feedback analysis for batch 2019-20





FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22 School of Commerce BCOM

SL No	Question	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Syllabus is suitable to the course	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74
2	Syllabus is need based	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5
3	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	35.24	41.94	20.1	2.47	0.25
4	The courses / syllabils has good balance between theory and at plication	28.04	41.44	24.66	5.46	0.4
5	The course program of studies carries sufficient number of optional papers	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74
6	The books prescribed / listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate	34.24	43.92	17.12	4.22	0.5
7	Tests and examinations are conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
8	I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5




9	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/strategies of teaching such as seminar presentations, group discussions and learner's participations	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5	
10	The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research	40.45	35.98	17.61	3.97	1.99	







FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22







INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22 School of Commerce

BCOM


S.No	Questions	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Adequacy of the Core Courses	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
2	Practical Content in the Curriculum	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
3	Fulfilment of needs	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
4	Clear idea about the purpose of the	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
	Course					
NCY UN	Curriculum proved useful at workplace	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
6	was the Curriculum followed by the	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
IQAC	mployee relevant to Employability					
WGALO	was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
0,10	Students performance with respect to	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
	general communication					
8	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
	their planning and organization skills					
9	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
	developing practical solutions to work				1.03	
	place problems					



10	Was the Curriculum helpful in in building					
	Entrepreneurial motives which helps the	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
	Students for starting their ventures					



INDUSTRY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS FOR AY 2021-22







School of Commerce

Student Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2021-22


BCOM

SL	Question	5	4	3	2	1
No		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
		%	%	%	%	%
1	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied?	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5
2	Course Applicability /relevance to real life situations (Employability)	29.28	44.67	22.83	2.97	0.25
3	Learning value in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge and analytical abilities	22	35	33	9	1
4	How do you rate the sequence of the Courses that you have studied in the previous semester?	35.24	41.93	20.1	2.48	0.25
5 986	How do you ate the sequence of the units in the Course?	23	33	36	7	1
6	How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	21	35.9	33.65	7.95	1.5
7	How do you rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books to the Courses?	33.34	41.93	20.8	3.64	0.29
8	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5
9	How do you rate the experiments in relation to the real life applications?	18	28	40	10	4
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	15	39	35	9	2



School of Commerce

Student feedback analysis -2021-22







ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM –BATCH 2019-22

School of Commerce

BCOM (Hons)

S.No	Questions	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Was the syllabus relevant to your course?	5	9	25	31	30
2	Was the syllabus updated enough?	1	16	45.95	37.05	0
3	Was the course content delivery	7	16	45	32	0
	interesting?					
NCY UN	Did the course curriculum intellectually stimulate you?	5.91	15.65	46.99	31.45	0
	simulate you?					
IQAC	Was the course curriculum fulfilling your	1.43	11.85	45.57	41.15	0
	expectations?					
WG6LO	Have you learnt any skills in the due	5	27	41	27	0
	course of your study?					
7	Does the syllabus create any interest to					
	pursue post-graduation/research in the	1	15	49	35	0
	particular topic?					
8	How do you rate the courses that you					
	have learnt suiting the requirements of	3	17	53	27	0
	the Industry?					




9	How do you rate the learning experience in terms of their relevance to the real life applications?	0	19	53	28	0
10	How do you rate the courses that you have learnt in relation to your current job?	7	31	5	57	0



(Established under the Presidency University Act, 2013 of the Karnataka Act 41 of 2013)

Alumni feedback analysis for batch 2019-20





FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22 School of Commerce BCOM HONOURS


SL No	Question	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Syllabus is suitable to the course	30.27	42.68	24.81	1.99	0.25
2	Syllabus is need based	29.28	44.67	22.83	2.97	0.25
A IO	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	35.23	41.94	20.1	2.48	0.25
A A ANGA	The courses / syllabus has good balance between theory and application	28.04	41.43	24.57	5.46	0.5
5	The course / program of studies carries sufficient number of optional papers	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5
6	The books prescribed / listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate	40.45	35.98	17.62	3.96	1.99
7	Tests and examinations are conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74
8	I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5



9	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/strategies of teaching such as seminar presentations, group discussions and learner's participations	46.15	34.74	15.64	2.73	0.74
10	The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research	29.53	35.98	20.1	9.43	4.96



Faculty feedback analysis for AY 2021-22





INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22 School of Commerce BCOM-HONOURS


S.No	Questions	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Adequacy of the Core Courses	43.9	29.1	17	6	4
2	Practical Content in the Curriculum	43.5	35.04	15.01	5.12	1.33
3	Fulfilment of needs	35.5	33.9	19.5	10.1	1
LIOAC.	Clear idea about the purpose of the Course	34.5	33.4	25.1	6	1
5	Curriculum proved useful at workplace	37.5	33.9	19.5	8.1	1
WGALO	Was the Curriculum followed by the Employee relevant to Employability	33.99	44.1	18.95	1.8	1.16
7	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving Students performance with respect to general communication	41.6	35.7	18.95	3.75	0
8	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving Students performance with respect to their planning and organization skills	29.8	41	22	5.3	1.9
9	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving Students performance with respect to	33.99	44.1	18.95	1.8	1.16



-					
Vas the Curriculum helpful in in building	27.04	40.44	25 57	5 /15	1.5
·	27.04	40.44	25.57	3.43	1.5
n	as the Curriculum helpful in in building trepreneurial motives which helps the udents for starting their ventures	trepreneurial motives which helps the 27.04	trepreneurial motives which helps the 27.04 40.44	trepreneurial motives which helps the 27.04 40.44 25.57	trepreneurial motives which helps the 27.04 40.44 25.57 5.45



INDUSTRY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS FOR AY 2021-22



REGISTRAR



School of Commerce Student Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2021-22 BCOM - HONOURS


SL	Question	5	4	3	2	1
No		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
		%	%	%	%	%
1	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied?	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5
2	Course Applicability /relevance to real life situations (Employability)	35.14	41.63	20.94	2.18	0.11
3	Learning value in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge and analytical abilities	22	35	33	9	1
4	How do you rate the sequence of the Courses that you have studied in the previous semester?	17	37	32	11	3
5	How do you rate the sequence of the units in the	23	33	36	7	1
PRF of	tow do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	22.77	29	33	11.33	3.9
7	how do you rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books to the Courses?	14	23	35	20	8
8	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?	13	22	35	16	14
9	How do you rate the experiments in relation to the real life applications?	21	35.9	33.65	7.95	1.5
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5

REGISTRAR



School of Commerce

Student feedback analysis for AY 2021-22







FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22 School of Commerce

BBA – DIGITAL MARKETING

		רטט	DIGITAL IVI	AININETTING		
SL No	Question	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Syllabus is suitable to the course	33.34	41.91	20.8	2.65	1.3
2	Syllabus is need based	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5
3	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74
4	The courses / syllabus has good balance between theory and application	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5
5	The course program of studies carries sufficient number of ortional papers	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74
6	The books prescribed / listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate	34.24	43.92	17.12	4.22	0.5
7	Tests and examinations are conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5
8	I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus	40.45	35.97	17.62	3.97	1.99
9	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/strategies of teaching such as seminar presentations, group discussions and learner's participations	46.15	34.74	15.63	2.73	0.75




10



(Established under the Presidency University Act, 2013 of the Karnataka Act 41 of 2013)

Faculty feedback analysis for AY -2021-22







INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22 School of Commerce

BBA – DIGITAL MARKETING


S.No	Questions	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Adequacy of the Core Courses	35.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	1.5
2	Practical Content in the Curriculum	29.28	44.67	22.83	2.97	0.25
3	Fulfilment of needs	14	23	35	20	8
4	Clear idea about the purpose of the	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74
	Course					
5	Curriculum proved useful at workplace	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5
NCPUN	Was the Curriculum followed by the	41.95	36.4	15.99	4	1.66
	Employee relevant to Employability					
IQAC	Wes the Curriculum helpful in improving				_	_
	Students performance with respect to	45.35	33	17.65	2	2
WGALO	general communication					
8	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	19	34	33	11	3
	their planning and organization skills					
9	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	35.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	1.5
	developing practical solutions to work		·			
	place problems					



10	Was the Curriculum helpful in in building					
	Entrepreneurial motives which helps the	19	34	33	11	3
	Students for starting their ventures					



INDUSTRY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS FOR AY 2021-22






School of Commerce

Student Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2021-22

BBA – DIGITAL MARKETING

SL	Question	5	4	3	2	1
No		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
		%	%	%	%	%
1	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied?	22.00	34.00	32.00	9.00	3.00
2	Course Applicability /relevance to real life situations (Employability)	21.00	33.00	35.00	9.00	2.00
3	Learning value in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge and analytical abilities	22.00	35.00	33.00	9.00	1.00
4	How do you rate the sequence of the Courses that you have studied in the previous semester?	40.45	35.98	17.62	3.97	1.98
5	How do you ate the sequence of the units in the Course?	46.15	34.74	15.63	2.73	0.75
6	terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	22.00	31.00	33.00	11.00	3.00
7	How do you rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books to the Courses?	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5
8	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5
9	How do you rate the experiments in relation to the real life applications?	29.28	44.67	22.83	2.97	0.25
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5

School of Commerce








FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22 School of Commerce BBA – BUSINESS ANALYTICS

	DDA DOSINESS ANALTHES							
SL No	Question	5	4	3	2	1		
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor		
		%	Good	%	%	%		
			%					
1	Syllabus is suitable to the course	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5		
2	Syllabus is need based	29.28	44.67	22.83	2.97	0.25		
3	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	35.24	41.93	20.1	2.48	0.25		
4	The courses / syllabus has good balance between theory and application	28.04	41.43	24.57	5.46	0.5		
5	The course / program of studies carries sufficient number of optional papers	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28		
6	The books prescribed listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5		
7	Tests and examinations are conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74		
8	I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5		
9	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/strategies of teaching such as seminar presentations, group discussions and learner's participations	46.15	34.74	15.63	2.74	0.74		





Faculty feedback analysis for AY - 2021-22



REGISTRAR



INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22

School of Commerce

BBA – BUSINESS ANALYTICS

S.No	Questions	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Adequacy of the Core Courses	45.15	34.74	16.63	2.73	0.75
2	Practical Content in the Curriculum	35.24	41.93	20.1	2.48	0.25
IQ3AC	Fulfilment of needs	22	35	33	9	1
MAGALO	Clear idea about the purpose of the Course	19	34	33	11	3
5	Curriculum proved useful at workplace	35.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	1.5
6	Was the Curriculum followed by the Employee relevant to Employability	29.28	44.67	22.83	2.97	0.25
7	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving Students performance with respect to general communication	14	23	35	20	8




8	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving Students performance with respect to their planning and organization skills	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
9	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving Students performance with respect to developing practical solutions to work place problems	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5
10	Was the Curriculum helpful in in building Entrepreneurial motives which helps the Students for starting their ventures	25.7	42.1	23.5	7.5	1.2







INDUSTRY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS FOR AY 2021-22







School of Commerce

Student Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2021-22


BBA – BUSINESS ANALYTICS

SL	Question	5	4	3	2	1
No		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
		%	%	%	%	%
1	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied?	46.15	34.74	15.63	2.73	0.75
2	Course Applicability /relevance to real life situations (Employability)	35.24	41.93	20.1	2.48	0.25
3	Learning value in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge and analytical abilities	22	35	33	9	1
4	How do you rate the sequence of the Courses that you have studied in the previous semester?	19	34	33	11	3
5	How do you ate the sequence of the units in the Course?	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5
6	How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	29.28	44.67	22.83	2.97	0.25
7	How do you rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books to the Courses?	14	23	35	20	8
8	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
9	How do you rate the experiments in relation to the real life applications?	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	35.24	41.93	20.1	2.48	0.25



School of Commerce

Student feedback analysis – 2021-22







FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22

School of Commerce


BBA - FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY

	BBA – FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY								
SL No	Question	5	4	3	2	1			
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor			
		%	Good	%	%	%			
			%						
1	Syllabus is suitable to the course	30.27	42.68	24.81	1.99	0.25			
2	Syllabus is need based	29.28	44.67	22.82	2.98	0.25			
3	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	35.24	41.94	20.1	2.47	0.25			
4	The courses / syllabus has good balance between theory and application	28.03	41.44	24.57	5.46	0.5			
5	The course / program of studies carries sufficient number of potional papers	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28			
6	The books prescribed disted as reference materials are relevant updated and appropriate	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5			
7	Tests and examinations are conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74			
8	I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5			
9	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/strategies of teaching such as seminar presentations, group discussions and learner's participations	46.15	34.75	15.63	2.73	0.74			
10	The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research	29.53	35.98	20.1	9.43	4.96			





Faculty feedback analysis - 2021-22







INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22 School of Commerce

BBA - FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY


S.No	Questions	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Adequacy of the Core Courses	37.48	39.46	19.6	2.96	0.5
2	Practical Content in the Curriculum	29.28	44.67	22.83	2.97	0.25
3	Fulfilment of needs	37.24	41.93	19.1	1.48	0.25
4	Clear idea about the purpose of the	25.04	41.43	27.57	5.46	0.5
	Course					
NCY UN	Curriculum proved useful at workplace	33.34	41.93	22.8	1.65	0.28
6	Was the Curriculum followed by the	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5
IQAC	ployee relevant to Employability					
WGALO	was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74
	general communication					
8	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5
	their planning and organization skills					
9	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	45.15	34.74	15.63	3.74	0.74
	developing practical solutions to work					
	place problems					



10	Was the Curriculum helpful in in building					
	Entrepreneurial motives which helps the	35.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	1.5
	Students for starting their ventures					



INDUSTRY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS FOR AY 2021-22



REGISTRAR



School of Commerce

Student Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2021-22


BBA – FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY

SL	Question	5	4	3	2	1
No		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
		%	%	%	%	%
1	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied?	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
2	Course Applicability /relevance to real life situations (Employability)	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5
3	Learning value in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge and analytical abilities	22	35	33	9	1
4	How do you rate the sequence of the Courses that you have studied in the previous semester?	19	34	33	11	3
5	How do you ate the sequence of the units in the Course?	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5
6	terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	29.28	44.67	22.83	2.97	0.25
7	How do you rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books to the Courses?	35.24	41.93	20.1	2.48	0.25
8	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?	13	22	35	16	14
9	How do you rate the experiments in relation to the real life applications?	18	28	40	10	4
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	33.34	41.93	20.8	3.64	0.29



School of Commerce

Student feedback analysis – 2021-22







FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22 School of Commerce


BBA – E COMMERCE & SCM

	BBA – E COIVIVIERCE & SCIVI						
SL No	Question	5	4	3	2	1	
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor	
		%	Good	%	%	%	
			%				
1	Syllabus is suitable to the course	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74	
2	Syllabus is need based	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5	
3	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5	
4	The courses / syllabus has good balance between theory and application	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74	
5	The course / program of studies carries sufficient rumber of optional papers	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5	
6	The books prescribed / listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate	34.24	43.92	17.12	4.22	0.5	
7	Tests and examinations are conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5	
8	I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus	40.45	35.97	17.62	3.97	1.99	
9	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/strategies of teaching such as seminar presentations, group discussions and learner's participations	46.15	34.74	15.64	2.73	0.74	
10	The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research	29.53	35.98	20.1	9.43	4.96	





Faculty feedback analysis – 2021-22







INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22 School of Commerce

BBA - ECOMMERCE & SCM


S.No	Questions	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Adequacy of the Core Courses	29.78	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74
2	Practical Content in the Curriculum	35.24	41.92	17.12	5.22	0.5
3	Fulfilment of needs	34.24	43.92	17.12	4.22	0.5
4	Clear idea about the purpose of the	34.24	43.92	17.12	4.22	0.5
	Course					
5	Curriculum proved useful at workplace	29.8	41	22	5.3	1.9
NCPUN	Was the Curriculum followed by the	33.99	44.1	18.95	1.8	1.16
	Employee relevant to Employability					
IQAC	Wes the Curriculum helpful in improving					
8	Students performance with respect to	41.6	35.7	18.95	3.75	0
WGALOY	general communication					
8	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	29.8	41	22	5.3	1.9
	their planning and organization skills					
9	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	33.99	44.1	18.95	1.8	1.16
	developing practical solutions to work			_5.55		0
	place problems					



10	Was the Curriculum helpful in in building					
	Entrepreneurial motives which helps the	22	35	33	9	1
	Students for starting their ventures					



INDUSTRY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS FOR AY 2021-22





School of Commerce

Student Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2021-22


BBA – ECOMMERCE & SCM

SL	Question	5	4	3	2	1
No		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
		%	%	%	%	%
1	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied?	40.45	35.98	17.62	3.97	1.98
2	Course Applicability /relevance to real life situations (Employability)	46.15	34.74	15.63	2.73	0.75
3	Learning value in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge and analytical abilities	22	35	33	9	1
4 8 %	How do you rate the sequence of the Courses that you have studied in the previous semester?	35.24	41.93	20.1	2.48	0.25
5	Howard volutate the sequence of the units in the course? *	35.34	41.93	20.8	1.65	0.28
6	terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5
7	How do you rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books to the Courses?	14	23	35	20	8
8	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?	33.24	41.92	17.12	7.22	0.5
9	How do you rate the experiments in relation to the real life applications?	17.9	27	41	10	4.1 REG
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	36.48	38.46	19.6	4.96	0.5



School of Commerce

Student feedback analysis for AY 2021-22







FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22 School of Commerce


BSc – Economics

	BSC – Economics							
SL No	Question	5	4	3	2	1		
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor		
		%	Good	%	%	%		
			%					
1	Syllabus is suitable to the course	33.3	40.93	23.8	1.63	0.3		
2	Syllabus is need based	21.0	46.45	25.57	5.54	1.4		
3	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	25.8	40.47	29.44	4.13	0.21		
4	The courses / syllabus has good balance between theory and application	35.3	37.9	17.11	5.22	4.5		
5	The course / program of studies carries sufficient number of optional papers	28.0	41.44	24.57	5.46	0.5		
6	The books prescribed / listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate IQAC	29.8	38.46	26.55	4.47	0.74		
7	Tests and examinations are conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	35.1	39.52	21.5	3.93	0		
8	I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus	40.5	35.98	17.62	3.97	1.98		
9	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/strategies of teaching such as seminar presentations, group discussions and learner's participations	45.2	33.74	15.63	3.73	1.75		
10	The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research	25.5	35.98	24.1	9.43	4.96		





Faculty feedback analysis for AY 2021-22







INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM FOR AY 2021-22

School of Commerce

BSc- Economics


S.No	Questions	5	4	3	2	1
		Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
		%	Good	%	%	%
			%			
1	Adequacy of the Core Courses	23.7	45.1	23.5	7.5	0.2
2	Practical Content in the Curriculum	35.3	33.1	19.5	11.1	1
3	Fulfilment of needs	25.5	39.95	24.6	5.95	4
4	Clear idea about the purpose of the	37.9	39.1	13.17	5.85	3.98
IQAC 5	Cyrriculum proved useful at workplace	35.75	35.25	23	3.95	2.05
WGALO	Was the Curriculum followed by the	47.5	33.04	13.01	5.12	1.33
	Employee relevant to Employability					
7	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	39.4	33.6	18	9.7	1.3
	general communication					
8	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving					
	Students performance with respect to	41.95	36.4	15.99	4	1.66
	their planning and organization skills					
9	Was the Curriculum helpful in improving	45.35	33	17.65	2	2
	Students performance with respect to	15.55		17.03	_	_



	developing practical solutions to work place problems					
10	Was the Curriculum helpful in in building Entrepreneurial motives which helps the	29.28	44.67	22.83	2.97	0.25
	Students for starting their ventures					



INDUSTRY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS FOR AY 2021-22





School of Commerce

Student Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2021-22


BSc – Economics

SL	Question	5	4	3	2	1
No		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
		%	%	%	%	%
1	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied?	31.48	37.46	19.6	9.96	1.5
2	Course Applicability /relevance to real life situations (Employability)	25.28	45.67	23.83	4.97	0.25
33/6	Learning value in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge and analytical abilities	22.5	34.5	33.95	9.05	0
4	How do you ate the sequence of the Courses that you have studied in the previous semester?	35.24	41.93	20.1	2.48	0.25
5	How do you rate the sequence of the units in the Course?	23.5	33.95	33.75	7.25	1.55
6	How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	21.95	35.95	33.95	7.95	0.2
7	How do you rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books to the Courses?	35.34	40.93	19.8	3.64	0.29
8	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?	27.04	40.44	25.57	5.45	1.5 REG
9	How do you rate the experiments in relation to the	17.95	27.1	40.05	9.95	4.95



School of Commerce & Economics

Student feedback analysis for AY – 2021-22



REGISTRAR