PRESIDENCY UNIVERSITY | Presidency School of Law



2nd International Moot Court Competition 2027

16th–18th April 2027

Knowledge partner SCC ONLINE | TIMES

Media partner SCC ONLINE

About
Presidency University

Presidency University, Bengaluru, established under the Presidency University Act, 2013, is a multidisciplinary institution committed to academic excellence, innovation, research, and industry-oriented education. As part of the esteemed Presidency Group of Institutions, which has been shaping educational excellence for nearly five decades, the University has emerged as one of India's leading centres of higher learning. The University is recognised by the University Grants Commission (UGC) and its professional programmes are approved by the respective statutory bodies, including the Bar Council of India (BCI).

Spread across a vibrant 100+ acre campus in Bengaluru, India's technology and innovation capital, the University offers a wide spectrum of undergraduate, postgraduate, and doctoral programmes in engineering, management, law, design, commerce, computer science, media studies, liberal arts and sciences, and allied health sciences. Its academic philosophy integrates rigorous scholarship with experiential learning, interdisciplinary engagement, research, entrepreneurship, and global exposure.

Accredited with NAAC 'A' Grade, Presidency University has earned recognition for its commitment to quality education, student success, and institutional excellence. The University has received the prestigious ***QS I-GAUGE*** Gold Rating and has been featured in the ***QS World*** University Rankings: Asia, reflecting its growing international standing and commitment to global academic standards.

Guided by the motto ***"Gain More Knowledge, Reach Greater Heights,"*** Presidency University continues to nurture future-ready professionals, researchers, innovators, and leaders equipped to contribute meaningfully to society and address contemporary global challenges.

NAAC A
ACCREDITED UNIVERSITY




About
Presidency School of Law

Presidency School of Law (PSOL), Presidency University, Bengaluru, is a dynamic institution committed to excellence in legal education, research, professional training, and social impact. Approved by the Bar Council of India (BCI) and functioning within a multidisciplinary university ecosystem, PSOL offers contemporary legal education through its B.A. LL.B. (Hons.) and B.B.A. LL.B. (Hons.) programmes with specialisations in Constitutional Law, Business Law, International Trade Law, Crime and Criminology, International Law, and Intellectual Property Law. The School also offers LL.M. programmes in Intellectual Property and Technology Law, International Law, Criminal Law, Corporate Law, and Constitutional and Administrative Law, along with a vibrant Ph.D. programme that promotes advanced legal scholarship and interdisciplinary research.

The School's academic framework integrates doctrinal learning with practical legal training through moot courts, legal aid initiatives, ADR training, internships, research projects, policy engagement, simulation exercises, and industry collaborations. Students benefit from interaction with distinguished academicians, judges, senior advocates, policymakers, industry experts, Professors of Practice, and Professors Emeritus, creating a rich ecosystem that bridges legal theory and professional practice.

Presidency School of Law has earned national recognition for academic excellence, including being named the **Best Emerging Law College in India (India Today Best Colleges Survey 2025), receiving an AAA+ Rating** (Careers360 India's Best Law Colleges 2025), being ranked among the Top 4 Law Colleges in Karnataka (CSR Rankings 2024), securing the 16th position nationally (IIRF Law Rankings 2023), and being recognised as a Top Law School of Eminence by ***GHRDC Law Schools Survey 2026,*** *ranking 5th in India, 8th in Karnataka, and 9th in South India.*



About

The Inaugural International Moot Court Competition (2026)



The Presidency School of Law successfully organised its ***Inaugural International Moot Court Competition from 20-22 February 2026*** in collaboration with the ***National Human Rights Commission (NHRC),*** India. The Competition marked a significant milestone in the school's commitment to promoting excellence in advocacy, legal research, andinternational legal education.

The inaugural edition featured an original moot proposition before the International Court of Justice (ICJ) titled ***Case Concerning The Legality Of Detention Of Mittal United Republic Of Othello V. Democratic State Of Desdemona,*** addressing complex issues relating to Bilateral Investment Treaties (BITs), customary international law, state sovereignty, diplomatic protection, andhuman rights.

The Competition witnessed enthusiastic participation from *44 teams representing leading law schools and universities from India, Bangladesh, and Sri Lanka,* reflecting its growing international appeal and academic standing.

The event was inaugurated by **Hon'ble** *Justice Shivraj V. Patil,* Former Judge of the Supreme Court of India and Former Chairperson of the National Human Rights Commission.

The rounds were adjudicated by an esteemed panel of *judges comprising distinguished legal practitioners, academicians, and experts from India, Germany, Singapore, and Australia,* providing participants with valuable exposure to diverse legal perspectives and international advocacy standards.

The overwhelming success of the inaugural edition laid a strong foundation for establishing the Presidency International Moot Court Competition as a premier platform for fostering advocacy skills, international legal scholarship, professional excellence, and cross-border academic engagement among future legal professionals. Building upon this success, the second edition seeks to further expand its international reach and strengthen its position as a leading moot court competition in the field of international law

Introduction to the Competition

Following the outstanding success of its *Inaugural International Moot Court Competition, organised in collaboration with the National Human Rights Commission (NHRC),* India, *Presidency School of Law, Presidency University, Bengaluru,* proudly announces the **2nd International Moot Court Competition, 2027.**

1 The Competition is envisioned as a premier international platform for aspiring legal professionals to engage with contemporary and complex issues of international law through rigorous legal research, written advocacy, and oral argument. It seeks to foster intellectual excellence, advocacy skills, critical thinking, and cross-cultural academic engagement among law students from India andacross the world.

2 The moot proposition for this edition, ***The Prosecutor v. General Darius Vokshi,*** is set before a fictional hybrid international tribunal and presents participants with intricate questions arising under International Criminal Law, Public International Law, International Humanitarian Law, and Transnational Justice. Participants will be required to address challenging issues concerning jurisdiction, admissibility and complementarity, immunity ***ratione materiae*** of former State officials, individual criminal responsibility including command responsibility, extradition, human rights obligations, and the principle of non-refoulement.

3 The Competition has been carefully designed to reflect contemporary developments in international criminal justice and accountability mechanisms while encouraging participants to engage with evolving jurisprudence, treaty frameworks, customary international law, and comparative legal approaches.

4 In keeping with Presidency University's commitment to global engagement and inclusivity, the Competition shall be conducted in a **hybrid format.** Teams from India shall participate in person at the Presidency School of Law, Bengaluru, while international teams may participate virtually, thereby facilitating wider global participation and fostering meaningful international academic exchange without compromising the quality and rigour of the competition.

5 The Competition aspires to create a vibrant forum where future lawyers, researchers, policymakers, and advocates can engage with pressing international legal challenges and contribute to the advancement of justice, accountability, human rights, and the rule of law in an increasingly interconnected world.

Moot Proposition

A. Background to the competition

This problem has been drafted for use in an international moot court competition focused on international criminal law, extradition law, and the law of immunities. Teams will appear before the fictional International Criminal Tribunal for Trans-Border Crimes ("the Tribunal"), a judicial body established by the fictional 2019 Vantia Convention to try serious international crimes committed in border regions where territorial States are unable or unwilling to prosecute. All States, organisations, treaties, persons, and events described below are entirely fictional and any resemblance to real States or persons is coincidental and unintended.

Teams will argue both for the Office of the Prosecutor and for the Defence on the issues identified in Part E below.

A. Nature, composition, and jurisdictional model of the tribunal

- The Tribunal is an independent international judicial institution established by the Vantia Convention (2019), possessing international legal personality distinct from that of any of its States Parties. It is composed of a Pre-Trial Chamber, a Trial Chamber, and an Appeals Chamber, each staffed by judges nominated and elected by the Assembly of States Parties to the Convention; no domestic judge, and no domestic law of any territorial State, forms part of the Tribunal's bench or applicable law. The Tribunal is described as "hybrid" solely because its subject-matter jurisdiction extends both to core international crimes — war crimes and crimes against humanity, defined by reference to the Rome Statute — and to certain transnational border offences created uniquely under the Vantia Convention, including enforced disappearance and unlawful forcible displacement occurring in contested border regions. It is not a mixed-composition or domestic court.

- The Tribunal operates on a complementarity model closely modelled on the Rome Statute: national courts of States Parties retain primary jurisdiction over crimes within the Tribunal's competence, and the Tribunal may act only where, under Article 17 of the Convention, the State with jurisdiction is unwilling or unable genuinely to investigate or prosecute.

- Declarations filed under Article 124(bis) of the Convention are subject to review by the Tribunal upon a referral by any State Party under Article 9, and may be challenged both on grounds of international validity (including compliance with the requirements of Article 124(bis) itself) and on grounds of domestic validity under the declaring State's own constitutional procedure for entering such declarations. A declaration under Article 124(bis) operates prospectively from its date of deposit, lapses automatically upon expiry of the stated period, and does not extend to crimes against humanity or genocide, irrespective of its wording.

- Velantia deposited its instrument of accession to the Vantia Convention, together with a declaration under Article 124(bis) excluding the Tribunal's jurisdiction over war crimes committed by its nationals or on its territory for a period of seven years, with the Convention's Depositary on 5 June 2020. Astoria disputes the validity of this

- on the ground that, under Velantia's own constitutional law, a declaration limiting the jurisdiction of an international tribunal over Velantian nationals required ratification by a two-thirds majority of the Velantian Parliament — a ratification which Velantia's Ministry of Foreign Affairs concedes was never separately obtained. Velantia maintains that no such domestic ratification was required as a matter of Velantian law, and that in any event only the Tribunal, and not Astoria unilaterally, may pronounce on the validity of another State Party's declaration.

C. The parties

- The Republic of Astoria ("Astoria") is a State of approximately 42 million people located on the fictional continent of Meridia. Astoria is a party to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, the 1949 Geneva Conventions and their Additional Protocols, the 1951 Refugee Convention, and the Vantia Convention (2019).

- The Federal Republic of Velantia ("Velantia") is Astoria's neighbouring State, with a population of approximately 18 million. Velantia acceded to the Vantia Convention in 2020 but is not a party to the Rome Statute. Velantia and Astoria share a 900-kilometre border, a substantial part of which runs through the mountainous Kestran Province, contested by both States since a 1994 ceasefire that never resulted in a final boundary settlement.

- General Darius Vokshi ("General Vokshi") was, between 2014 and 2023, the commander of the Velantian Border Defence Corps ("VBDC"), a paramilitary force under the operational control of Velantia's Ministry of Internal Security. From January 2023 to March 2024, General Vokshi additionally served as Velantia's Deputy Minister of Internal Security, a cabinet-level post, before resigning from public office in April 2024. He currently resides in Velantia and holds no official position.

D. Statement of facts

1. Kestran Province has been the site of intermittent armed clashes between Astorian security forces and the VBDC since at least 2016, arising from disputed control over three border villages: Prahn, Tulek, and Sombra. Astoria maintains that all three villages fall within its recognised 1994 ceasefire line; Velantia disputes this with respect to Sombra.
2. Between June 2021 and September 2023, the VBDC, under General Vokshi's command, conducted a series of operations in and around Sombra officially described by Velantia as "counter-infiltration operations" targeting an armed non-State group, the Sombra Popular Front ("SPF"), which Velantia alleges was responsible for cross-border attacks on Velantian customs posts.
3. Astoria, the United Nations Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial executions, and three independent non-governmental organisations have documented the following incidents arising from these operations:
   a. On 14 August 2021, VBDC units entered Sombra and detained 27 men aged 16 to 71 on suspicion of SPF membership. Nineteen of the 27 have not been seen since. Family members allege the men were transported to an undisclosed VBDC facility known locally as "Site 12." Velantia has neither confirmed nor denied holding the nineteen men in State custody, and has not responded to formal inquiries from Astoria, the Tribunal, or the International Committee of the Red Cross regarding their fate or whereabouts.
   b. On 3 March 2022, a market in Sombra was struck by mortar fire attributed to VBDC positions, killing 11 civilians, including four children, and wounding 34. Velantia maintains the strike targeted an SPF weapons cache and that any civilian presence was used as a "human shield" by SPF fighters, a claim SPF and independent witnesses deny.

c. Between April and July 2023, satellite imagery analysed by an independent forensic architecture group shows the systematic demolition of 214 residential structures in Sombra and the adjoining hamlet of Little Sombra, an area from which the entire civilian population of approximately 3,000 persons was displaced into Astorian territory.

4. General Vokshi is alleged, on the basis of intercepted VBDC radio communications later obtained by Astorian intelligence and subsequently leaked to international media in November 2023, to have personally ordered the August 2021 detentions and to have been informed contemporaneously of the March 2022 mortar strike and the subsequent demolitions, taking no steps to investigate or halt them.
5. Velantia has consistently characterised the Sombra operations as legitimate counter-insurgency conducted in a non-international armed conflict against the SPF, and has opened no domestic criminal investigation into General Vokshi or any other VBDC personnel, stating that its own military justice system "retains exclusive competence" over the conduct of its armed forces.
6. On 22 January 2024, following the leak of the intercepted communications, the Office of the Prosecutor of the Tribunal opened a proprio motu investigation into the situation in Kestran Province pursuant to Article 15 of the Vantia Convention. On 9 September 2024, having found that Velantia's stated position amounted to unwillingness to investigate, a Pre-Trial Chamber of the Tribunal issued a sealed warrant of arrest against General Vokshi for war crimes and crimes against humanity. The warrant was unsealed on 2 December 2024 after General Vokshi's resignation from public office became public knowledge.
7. On 14 December 2024, General Vokshi travelled to Astoria to visit his adult daughter, who resides in the Astorian capital, Meridia City. Acting on the unsealed warrant and a cooperation request transmitted by the Tribunal, Astorian authorities arrested General Vokshi at Meridia City International Airport on 15 December 2024.
8. Velantia has formally protested the arrest, asserting that:
   a. General Vokshi enjoys residual immunity ratione materiae for acts performed in an official capacity as a serving cabinet minister and military commander, which immunity Velantia has not waived;
   b. the Tribunal lacks jurisdiction over Velantian nationals because Velantia, while a party to the Vantia Convention, entered a declaration under Article 124(bis) of that Convention at the time of accession excluding the Tribunal's jurisdiction over war crimes for a period of seven years, a declaration whose validity Astoria disputes; and
   c. in the alternative, Velantia has requested that Astoria extradite General Vokshi to Velantia under the 2011 Astoria–Velantia Extradition Treaty, so that he may be tried domestically, invoking the Treaty's provisions on the primacy of national prosecution and the political offence exception (Annexure I).
9. General Vokshi, through counsel, has filed a challenge to the admissibility of the case before the Tribunal under Article 17, arguing that Velantia's military justice system is both willing and able to investigate, pointing to the announcement on 20 December 2024 — five days after his arrest — of an internal VBDC "administrative review" of the Sombra operations. The Office of the Prosecutor contends this review is neither independent, impartial, nor genuinely directed at establishing individual criminal responsibility, and is designed to shield General Vokshi from prosecution.
10. Astoria has stayed any extradition proceedings pending resolution of the admissibility challenge and the immunity question before the Tribunal, and has referred both matters to the Tribunal for determination, as contemplated under Article 9 of the Vantia Convention.
11. General Vokshi's counsel disputes the characterisation of the Sombra operations as war crimes or crimes against humanity, contending they were lawful counter-insurgency measures against an armed non-State actor, and argues in the alternative that, even if extraditable,

the conduct alleged falls within the Extradition Treaty's political offence exception because Velantia's domestic courts have classified the SPF as a proscribed terrorist organisation under Velantian law since 2015. The Office of the Prosecutor, relying on findings of an independent national human rights commission concerning custodial deaths at VBDC-run facilities, including Site 12, contends that General Vokshi's extradition to Velantia would in any event be barred by the principle of non-refoulement.

E. Issues to be argued

Teams shall address the following issues, arguing in the alternative for the Prosecution and the Defence as assigned:

- **Issue I - Jurisdiction & Immunity**
  Whether General Vokshi, whose personal immunity (ratione personae) lapsed upon his resignation from public office in April 2024, nonetheless enjoys residual functional immunity (ratione materiae) before the Tribunal in respect of acts performed in his official capacity as VBDC commander and as Deputy Minister, or whether Article 27 of the Vantia Convention operates to displace any such immunity given the nature of the crimes charged.

- **Issue II - Individual Criminal Responsibility**
  Whether, on the facts alleged, General Vokshi bears individual criminal responsibility , whether as a direct perpetrator, a superior under command responsibility, or both , for (a) the enforced disappearance of the 19 unaccounted-for detainees, (b) the March 2022 mortar strike, and (c) the demolitions and forcible displacement from Sombra and Little Sombra, and whether these acts are properly characterised as war crimes, crimes against humanity, or both.

- **Issue III - Admissibility and Extraditio**
  Whether Velantia's "administrative review" renders the case inadmissible before the Tribunal under the principle that national proceedings take precedence, or whether it reflects unwillingness or inability genuinely to investigate within the meaning of Article 17; and whether, in the event the Tribunal declines jurisdiction or the case is found inadmissible, Astoria would be entitled or obliged under the 2011 Astoria - Velantia Extradition Treaty to extradite General Vokshi to Velantia, having regard to the Treaty's political offence exception and Astoria's non-refoulement obligations under the Refugee Convention and customary international law.

F. Clarifications

- Teams may argue, in the alternative, as to whether the armed confrontations described in paragraphs 8 to 10 are properly classified as a non-international armed conflict between Velantia and the Sombra Popular Front, an international armed conflict between Velantia and Astoria, or an internationalised non-international armed conflict, and may rely on the facts already stated in support of their preferred characterisation. No further facts regarding troop deployment, effective control, or attribution shall be assumed beyond what is stated in this problem.
- Astoria and Velantia are both bound by customary international humanitarian law applicable to armed conflicts, in addition to their treaty obligations described above.
- The intercepted communications referred to in paragraph 11 have not yet been formally authenticated before any judicial body; their evidentiary weight and admissibility are not in issue for the purposes of this problem and teams should assume, for the sole purpose of argument, that the Tribunal will make a threshold ruling on authenticity separately from the issues listed in Part E.
- No further facts shall be assumed. Any ambiguity in the record is deliberate and teams may argue reasonable interpretations most favourable to their assigned side.
- Clarifications to this problem, if any, will be issued to all participating teams simultaneously and will form part of the record.

G. Annexure II — selected provisions of the vantia convention (2019)

- **Article 3 — Political Offence Exception**
  Extradition shall not be granted where the offence for which extradition is requested is, in the view of the Requested State, a political offence or an offence connected with a political offence, save that this exception shall not apply to offences constituting war crimes, crimes against humanity, or genocide under international law binding on both Contracting Parties.

- **Article 6 — Primacy of National Prosecution**
  Where the person sought is a national of the Requesting State and the Requesting State demonstrates a genuine and ongoing capacity and willingness to investigate and, where warranted, prosecute the offence, the Requested State shall give due weight to such proceedings in determining whether to grant extradition.

- **Article 9 — Non-Refoulement**
  Nothing in this Treaty shall require the Requested State to extradite a person where there are substantial grounds for believing that the person would be in danger of being subjected to torture, or where extradition would otherwise be inconsistent with the Requested State's obligations under international refugee or human rights law.

- **Article 9 — Referral of Disputes**
  A State Party may refer to the Tribunal, for determination on an expedited basis, any dispute concerning jurisdiction, admissibility, or immunity arising in connection with a case before it.

- **Article 15 — Prosecutorial Powers**
  The Prosecutor may initiate investigations proprio motu on the basis of information on crimes within the jurisdiction of the Tribunal.

- **Article 17 — Admissibility**
  A case shall be inadmissible where it is being genuinely investigated or prosecuted by a State with jurisdiction, unless that State is unwilling or unable genuinely to carry out the investigation or prosecution.

- **Article 27 — Irrelevance of Official Capacity**
  Official capacity as a Head of State, Head of Government, or government official shall not exempt a person from criminal responsibility, nor shall it constitute a ground for reduction of sentence.

- **Article 124(bis) — Transitional Declarations**
  (1) A State may, at the time of accession, declare that for a period of seven years it does not accept the jurisdiction of the Tribunal with respect to war crimes when such crimes are alleged to have been committed by its nationals or on its territory. (2) A declaration under this Article shall take effect from the date of its deposit with the Depositary and shall lapse automatically upon the expiry of the period specified therein. (3) A declaration under this Article shall not extend to crimes against humanity or genocide.

IMCC Rules 2027

1. Organization

- The 2nd International Moot Court Competition, 2027, is to be held from 16th April,2027 to 18th April,2027 in Presidency University, Bangalore, Karnataka.
- The Moot Proposition is an International Law problem.
- The Organising Committee (OC) is vested with all the administrative responsibilities and shall function as the primary source for any relevant information regarding the competition to keep the participants updated.
- The Competition will be conducted in conformity with the rules that are outlined below, and competitors must abide by the rules and procedures specified therein; otherwise, they risk being disqualified.
- The official email for the purpose of all correspondence with respect to the 2nd International Moot Court Competition 2027 would be **mca@presidencyuniversity.in.**
- The Competition shall be conducted in a hybrid format. Indian Teams shall participate physically at Presidency University, Bengaluru, while International Teams may participate either virtually or physically, at the discretion of the participating institution. Detailed rules governing virtual participation by International Teams are set out in Rule 8A.

2. Language

The language of the Competition is English, and interpreters will not be available. However, judges will be mindful of the difficulties faced by mooters arguing in a language other than their own.

3. Eligibility

- **Each team shall consist of a minimum of Three Members, each of whom:**
  - is pursuing an undergraduate law degree or a bar qualifying course or its equivalent, or
  - is undertaking a first graduate degree in a legal field (not including Ph.D., S.J.D. and its equivalent) and
  - is enrolled at a law school in the country that he or she represents as a full-time or part-time student as of the date of the deadline of registration of the team for the national rounds; and
  - has not been admitted as an advocate and solicitor, barrister, attorney, legal practitioner or equivalent in their respective jurisdiction.
- Members of each team must be students from the same law school.
- The names of the members of each team shall be given to the Moot Committee on the date of registration.
- Each team will be given a team number upon payment of registration fees.
- Each team shall include **Two Speakers** and **One Researcher**

4. Limitation on number of teams

It is highly encouraged that each university, college, school or institution limit the number of teams to one (1).

5. Dress code

- The participants are expected to be in Formal Attire throughout the competition.
- Female(s): White or Black Salwar with White Kurta along with a Black Blazer and Black Shoes OR White Shirt and Black Trouser along with Black Blazer, Black tie and Black Shoes
- Male(s): White Shirt and Black Trouser along with Black Tie, Black Blazer and Black Shoes.
- It is to be duly noted that no team should wear their College's/ University's/ Institution's ID Card.
- The Dress Code prescribed under this Rule shall apply equally to International Teams participating virtually. Team Members shall be dressed in the prescribed Formal Attire, visible from at least the waist up on camera, for the entire duration of every Round.

6. Registration fee:

For Indian Participants:

Registration Fees without accommodation/food per team	= Rs 4500
Registration Fees with accommodation/food per team	= Rs 9000

For International Participants:

For International Participants (Virtual or Physical Participation):	
Registration Fee per team	= USD 125

7. Anonymity of the teams

- Teams may not disclose to judges, at any point throughout the Competition, the identity of their institution, region, or country of origin.
- The teams are only permitted to identify themselves by their team code, which would be allotted to them upon the completion of the Registration.
- Any material, including the Compendium, books, legal texts, or any other reference material, carried into the courtroom for the Oral Rounds, whether presented to the Bench or not, shall not reveal the Team's identity and will be devoid of any identification marks/seal/logo of the Team or the Institution/College/University represented.
- Teams shall not, in any way, reveal their identity in the Memorials or the course of the Oral Rounds. The Memorials shall not bear the logo, name, etc. of the Team, its members or the Institution/College/University represented by the Team.
- Any violation of the above-mentioned Rules of the Competition by the Teams, purposefully or inadvertently, may attract disqualification of the Team from the Competition or any other penalty as determined by the OC. The decision of the OC in this regard shall be final and not subject to challenge.
- Any attempts by a Team or a member of the Team to contact, either directly or indirectly, the drafter of the Moot Proposition for any reason whatsoever after the release of the Moot Proposition and before the Competition closes shall lead to the disqualification of such Team from the Competition.
- International Teams participating virtually shall comply with the following additional requirements to preserve anonymity:
  - the display name on the virtual platform shall reflect only the Team Code allotted to the Team;
  - team members shall use a plain, neutral, or blurred virtual background free of any institutional insignia, flags, or identifying features; and
  - no institutional merchandise, ID cards, banners, or nameplates shall be visible on camera at any point during the Competition.

7A. Hybrid format and rules for virtual participation by international teams

- 1 In keeping with the Competition's hybrid format, Indian Teams shall participate physically at Presidency School of Law, Presidency University, Bengaluru. International Teams may elect to participate either virtually or physically, at the discretion of the participating institution, subject to seat availability and approval of the Organising Committee.
- International Teams electing physical participation shall be governed by all Rules of the Competition applicable to Indian Teams, save that all costs of accommodation, travel, and visa shall be borne by the participating team unless otherwise notified by the Organising Committee, which shall, upon request, provide an official invitation letter and participation confirmation to support visa applications.
- International Teams electing virtual participation shall connect to all rounds of the Competition, including the Researcher's Test, Preliminary Oral Rounds, and any Advanced Rounds they qualify for, through an official virtual platform (such as Zoom or Microsoft Teams) designated by the Organising Committee. Login credentials/links shall be shared with registered teams at least a week prior to the relevant event.
- It shall be the sole responsibility of a virtually participating Team to arrange for a stable high-speed internet connection, a functioning laptop or desktop computer, a working webcam, and a working microphone. Teams are strongly advised to keep a backup device and/or an alternate internet connection (such as a mobile hotspot) available at all times during the Competition.
- All Rounds shall be scheduled in Indian Standard Time (IST). It shall be the responsibility of each International Team participating virtually to make suitable arrangements to be available at the scheduled time, regardless of the time zone in which the Team is located. No extension or rescheduling shall be granted on account of time zone difference.
- Cameras of all Team Members at the counsel table shall remain switched on throughout the duration of any Round in which the Team is participating virtually. Microphones shall remain muted except when a Team Member is permitted to speak by the Bench.
- The Organising Committee shall conduct a mandatory technical test/dry-run session for all virtually participating Teams prior to the commencement of the Competition, to verify audio-video connectivity and familiarise Teams with the virtual platform. Attendance at this session is mandatory.
- In the event of a connectivity failure or technical disruption affecting a Team during a Round: (i) the Round shall be paused at the discretion of the presiding judge(s); (ii) the affected Team shall be granted a reasonable grace period, ordinarily not exceeding ten (10) minutes, to restore connectivity; and (iii) if connectivity cannot be restored within the grace period, the Organising Committee shall determine, in its sole discretion, whether to reschedule the Round, resume from the point of disruption, or take such other measure as it deems just. The decision of the Organising Committee in this regard shall be final and binding.
- Teams participating virtually shall ensure that no unauthorised person is present in the room from which they are participating, and that no material other than that permitted under the Rules of the Competition is accessible to them during any Round.
- The Organising Committee shall designate a technical support point of contact, whose details shall be circulated to all virtually participating Teams, for reporting connectivity or platform-related issues before and during the Competition.
- Save as expressly modified by this Rule 8A, all other Rules of the Competition, including those relating to anonymity, dress code, scoring, time limits, and conduct, shall apply mutatis mutandis to International Teams participating virtually.

8. Memorials

- Each team must prepare Memorials for both sides, i.e., the Claimant/Petitioner and the Respondent of the case.
- The Memorials are not allowed to reveal any information related to the Team, its members, or the College, Institution, or University that the team is representing in any way. The Memorial shall be identified solely by the Team Code assigned to the Team according to Rule 2.20 of the Rules. Teams are eligible to resubmit their corrected memorials without incurring any penalties if they are submitted within the specified deadline for the submission. Marks will be deducted for memorials that are resubmitted after the deadline.
- The Organising Committee reserves the right to publish and distribute memorials submitted to and for the competition. Submission of memorials in this competition shall constitute consent to such distribution and publication.

9. Scoring criteria of memorials

- All participating teams shall send a soft copy of memorials for each side in both Microsoft Word and PDF (.docx/.doc) formats, via a Google Form link which will be provided, on or before March 15th 2027 (23:59 IST). The form link will be circulated once the provisional registration is completed. The teams must comply with the Rules of the Competition.
- Any submission made after March 15th 2027 (23:59 IST) will be considered a late submission and shall be penalised with a deduction in accordance with the Rules provided in point 12, unless an extension has been provided by the Organising Committee beforehand.
- Penalty in case of late submission of the soft copy of the memorial:
- In case of a delay in soft submission of the memorials, the Organising Committee shall deduct 1 mark for each hour of delay. Further, any submission of memorials made after 12 hours of the prescribed deadline shall not be accepted. Such decisions are entirely at the discretion of the Organising Committee, whose decision shall be final.
- The memorial would be liable for a deduction even if the delay was not for an entire hour. E.g. A memorial submitted 5 minutes after the deadline will face the 1-mark penalty.
- Hard copies of the memorial must be submitted before the commencement of the competition; the contrary will lead to a disqualification. Teams should bring at least 6 hard copies of the memorials,in addition to their own copy, to be used in each round of the competition.
- International Teams participating virtually are exempted from the requirement of submitting hard copies of the Memorials under Rule 11.3.3. Such teams shall instead submit an additional soft copy set (in both PDF and Word format) by email to the official Competition email address at least 24 hours before the commencement of the Oral Rounds, for use in the Memorial Exchange Session in lieu of hard copies.
- Each Memorial should be contained in a single file with the name of the file being the allocated team code, followed by the first letter of the party whose arguments are presented in that memorial, i.e., an R for Respondent and an A for Applicant. For instance, the Applicant memorial of team code 2 should be named "2A" and the Respondent memorial of team code should be named "2R".
- Where a Team makes multiple soft copy submissions, the last in time shall be considered as final for the purpose of the Competition.
- It is the responsibility of each Team to ensure that the soft copy of the Written Submissions is compliant with the following:
- That it can be opened and read with Adobe Acrobat Reader Version 8 and Microsoft Word 97-2003 Document (through use of compatibility mode) or a later version, does not contain any virus, and is not password protected.
- That they are submitted as four (4) separate file attachments: two (2) as Memorial for the Applicant (one each in PDF and Ms Word format) and two (2) as Memorial for the Respondent (one each in PDF and Ms Word format).
- A plagiarism report generated using a standard software tool such as Turnitin must be submitted along with the

- memorials via email to the Organising Committee.
- The memorial submitted should not be plagiarised. Any memorial found to have more than 20% plagiarism will lead to disqualification of the team for the Best Memorial and Best Memorial Runners Up prize categories. The Committee's decision shall be final and binding.
- A plagiarism report generated using a standard software tool such as Turnitin must be submitted along with the memorials via email to the Organising Committee.
- Rules relating to formatting, content, citation and anonymity of memorials are set out in Appendix 1.
- 10.3.9 The rules governing the **formatting, content, citation, and anonymity** of Memorials are set out in Appendix 1 and shall be strictly limited thereto. No additional evidence, facts, or materials beyond those contained in the Moot Problem shall be introduced by any team.
  10.3.10 Teams shall be permitted to formulate and address sub-issues arising from the issues specified above. However, no additional or independent issues beyond those expressly provided shall be permitted.
- 10.3.11 The submission of a **Compendium** shall be at the discretion of the participating teams. Teams opting to submit a Compendium shall be required to **submit three (3) copies** of the same.

10. Submission of the soft copies of the memorials

01	Analysis of Facts	20
02	Legal Knowledge and Application	20
03	Evidence of Original thought	20
04	Extent and correct use of research	20
05	Adherence to format and citation	20
	Total	**100**

11. Researcher's test

- A researcher's test will be conducted on April 16th 2027 physically on campus. Researcher of each team has to mandatorily participate in this test.
- The test will be in the form of multiple-choice and descriptive questions, depending upon the discretion of the organising committee. Teams will be marked out of 20.
- There will be no negative marking in the researcher's test.
- Absence of team representation in the researchers' test will be grounds for disqualification.
- The duration of the test shall be 40 Minutes.
- International Teams participating virtually shall undertake the Researcher's Test online, under remote invigilation arranged by the Organising Committee, on the same date and within a timeframe adjusted for the team's time zone as communicated in advance. All other conditions of this Rule, including duration and the prohibition on negative marking, shall apply equally.
- The Researchers test for the International Teams participating online and will be taking place online, through 'Autoproctor' (A web based, Proctored test taking platform). Being a proctored test, rules are expected to be followed and in case of any discrepancy, as to attempts of cheating (Switching windows, Multiple Voices, etc); the proctor automatically captures the evidence, which will be analysed.

- The Participating team will be penalized for the same, by deduction of points at the discretion of the organising committee.
- The Researchers from the online teams are required to use a laptop or a desktop computer with a working webcam and microphone in order for the test to be taken. If the participants do not have access to the same, they are requested to inform the Organising committee beforehand, to ensure smooth functioning of the event.

12. Judging of the competition

- Each match in the moot rounds shall be held before a panel of judges appointed by the Moot Committee. The Moot Committee has the absolute discretion to make the selection and allocation of judges for the competition.
- The Preliminary, octa, quarter and semi-final rounds shall have TWO judges. The final round shall have FIVE judges.
- Each judge shall complete an individual marking sheet for all participants in a moot.

13. Oral rounds

- All teams, upon the submission of the memorials, shall automatically qualify for the oral rounds.
- In the competition, there will be the ONE Preliminary Round, Octa-Finals, Quarter-Finals, the Semi-final Rounds and the Final Round. In each round, each team will moot against another.
- The oral pleadings will only be made in English. No deviation from this requirement shall be allowed.
- In the duration of the oral rounds, use of any electronic devices is strictly prohibited.
- Notwithstanding Rule 13.4, International Teams participating virtually shall be permitted the use of one (1) laptop/desktop device solely for the purpose of connecting to the official virtual courtroom platform. Such device shall not be used to access the internet, notes beyond permitted materials, communication applications, or any other unauthorised resource during the round; any violation shall be treated as misconduct under Rule 8.5.
- Teams during their oral arguments need not restrict themselves to the contents mentioned in their memorials. The team may place arguments beyond the scope of their initial submission in order to justify their stance.

14. Scoring of oral rounds

01	Familiarity with the facts of the case	10
02	Structure of arguments	20
03	Presentation and Etiquette	10
04	Application of Law	20
05	Extent of research	20
06	Persuasiveness and Advocacy	10
07	Responsiveness	10
	Total	**100**

14. Rebuttal and Sur-Rebuttal

- The rebuttal/sur-rebuttal may be presented by only one speaker of a team for all the issues or by both speakers individually for the issues presented by them.
- The Respondent team may use the time set aside for sur-rebuttal only if the Applicant team exercises its right to rebuttal.
- It is the responsibility of the team to reserve time for rebuttals and sur-rebuttals. If they fail to do so, they will not be allowed to reserve the time at a later opportunity during the oral rounds.
- The content of the rebuttals and sur-rebuttals shall be limited to the arguments put forth by the Respondent and Applicant, respectively.

15. Preliminary, octa, quarter-final and final rounds

- **PRELIMINARY ROUND**
  The maximum time limit exercised by each side is 20 minutes. The maximum time exercised by one speaker cannot exceed the time limit of 15 minutes. This time shall include the time allocated for rebuttals and answering questions. The minimum speaking time per speaker shall not be less than 5 minutes.

- **OCTA ROUNDS**
  The maximum time limit exercised by each side is 20 minutes. The maximum time exercised by one speaker cannot exceed the time limit of 15 minutes. This time shall include the time allocated for rebuttals and answering questions. The minimum speaking time per speaker shall not be less than 5 minutes. The final decision regarding the conduct of an octa-final round shall rest at the sole discretion of the Organising Committee and will be determined based on the number of participating teams.

- **QUARTER FINAL ROUNDS**
  The maximum time limit exercised by each side is 20 minutes. The maximum time exercised by one speaker cannot exceed the time limit of 15 minutes. This time shall include the time allocated for rebuttals and answering questions. The minimum speaking time per speaker shall not be less than 5 minutes.

- **SEMI-FINAL ROUNDS**
  The maximum time limit exercised by each side is 60 minutes. The maximum time exercised by one speaker cannot exceed the time limit of 30 minutes. This time shall include the time allocated for rebuttals and answering questions. The minimum speaking time per speaker shall not be less than 10 minutes.

- **FINAL ROUNDS**
  The maximum time limit exercised by each side is 60 minutes. The maximum time exercised by one speaker cannot exceed the time limit of 30 minutes. This time shall include the time allocated for rebuttals and answering questions. The minimum speaking time per speaker shall not be less than 30 minutes.

16. Extension of time

Judges may, at their discretion, extend the allotted time limits for the Teams' oral arguments, and oralists who have been asked to expand upon the arguments by the judges may, in this instance, go beyond the individual time limit.

17. Oral communication in the courtroom

- The team's speakers are only permitted to speak with the judge within the designated period. In order to maintain the orderly conduct of the Moot, the judges may, when necessary, speak with an oralist directly, any Team Member at the counsel table of either Team, and any other person in the courtroom.
- Without authorisation from the Organising Committee, no correspondence may take place between any individual seated at the counsel table or between any judge and an oralist at the podium.

18. Written communication

At the counsel table, team members may only communicate via written correspondence. During the Moot, Team Members at the Counsel Table are not permitted to correspond in writing with judges, the oralist, or observers (including Team Members sitting in the audience). No other individual may correspond with them either.

19. Structure of the competition

Each team shall argue in ONE Preliminary Round. The Advanced Rounds shall consist of three rounds– the Quarter Finals, Semi-Finals and the Final Round. All the Advanced Rounds shall be the knock-out rounds.

- **PRELIMINARY ROUND**
  - The Preliminary Rounds shall be conducted on April 17th, 2027 There will be ONE Preliminary Round.
  - Preliminary Round shall be the merit round. The top 16 teams will go to the Octa rounds.
  - Oral scores of both the oralists of that team will be added to determine the qualification of that team for the next round.
  - The pairing of teams for the Preliminary Rounds shall be done by a draw of lots by the Organising Committee.
  - The Teams in the Preliminary Rounds will be provided with the hard copy of the opposing Team's Memorial before the Oral Round in the Memorial Exchange Session.
  - If two teams have the same scores after adding the oral scores of both the oralists of that team, it will be called a tie. A tie will be resolved by adding the Memorial Scores to the cumulative Oral Scores of the Octa Finals.
  - If a tie persists, then the Researchers' Test scores would also be added to it.

- **OCTA FINAL ROUND**
  The top 16 teams shall qualify for the Octa-finals. This shall be a merit round. The top 8 teams will go to the advanced rounds.
  - The Octa-finals will be held on April 17th 2027.
  - Oral scores of both oralists will be added to determine the qualification of that team for the next round.
  - The Teams in the Octa-Final Rounds will be provided with the hard copy of the opposing Team's Memorial before the Oral Round in the Memorial Exchange Session.
  - If two teams have the same scores after adding the oral scores of both the oralists of that team, it will be called a tie. A tie will be resolved by adding the Memorial Scores to the cumulative Oral Scores of the Octa Finals.
  - If a tie persists, then the Researchers' Test scores would also be added to it.

- **QUARTER-FINAL ROUND**
  The top 8 highest scoring teams in each pairing shall proceed to the Quarter-final round. This shall be a knockout round.
  - The Quarter-final Rounds will be held on April 17th 2027.
  - The pairing of Teams for the Quarter-Finals shall be done by draw of lots.
  - Qualifying Teams shall pick the lots in the order of their ranks determined. The pairing of the teams for the Quarter-finals shall be done by power seeding, i.e., Rank 1 vs. Rank 8, Rank 2 vs. Rank 7, etc.
  - The sides for all the advanced rounds shall be determined through a chit system, where the highest-ranking team shall pick a chit at random, which would contain the name of the side and automatically, the opposing team will receive the other side.
  - The Teams in the Quarter-Final Rounds will be provided with a hard copy of the opposing Team's Memorial before the Oral Round in the Memorial Exchange Session.
  - Team will be credited with a win if the cumulative Oral Score of the Team in that match is higher than the cumulative Oral Score of the opposing Team in that match.
  - A tie will be resolved by adding the Memorial Scores to the cumulative Oral Scores of the Quarter Finals.
  - If a tie persists, then the Researchers' Test scores would also be added to it.

- **SEMI-FINAL ROUNDS**
  The Semi-Final Rounds will be conducted on April 18th 2027 and will be knockout rounds. The pairing of Teams for the Semi-Finals shall be done by draw of lots.
  - The pairing of Teams for the Semi-Finals shall be decided as follows:
  - Winner of QF 1 v. Winner of QF 4
  - Winner of QF 2 v. Winner of QF 3
  - For the Semi-final Rounds, Teams shall be provided with a hard copy of the opposing Team's Memorial in the Memorial Exchange Session.

- **FINALS**
  The winning team in each of the two Semi-final matches advances to the Final Round. The Final Round of the Competition will be held on April 18th 2027
  - The Rules of the Competition with respect to draw of lots and memorial exchange will apply mutatis mutandis to determine the winners of the Semi-Finals.
  - A team will be credited with a win if the cumulative oral score of the team in the final round is higher than the cumulative oral score of the opposing team in that round.
  - In case a tie persists, it can be resolved by considering the Memorial scores of the teams. However, the Organising Committee reserve the right to declare joint winners, in the case of even even-numbered panel, the judges of the final round are unable to reach a consensus with regards to deciding the winner. The Teams will be provided with a hard copy of the opposing Team's Memorials before the Oral Round. The Organising Committee reserve all the rights pertaining to that memorial.

20. Inauguration

On the first day of the event, April 16th 2027, there will be an **inauguration ceremony** followed by the exchange of memorials. All participants are required to report to the venue on **April 16th 2027.**

- *International Teams participating virtually shall join the Inauguration Ceremony and the Memorial Exchange Session through the official virtual platform link shared by the Organising Committee, and shall ensure timely login at least 15 minutes prior to the scheduled start.*

21. Accommodation and food

- Accommodation and meals will be provided by Presidency School of Law to the participants who opted for the same for 3 days and 2 nights from the morning of April 16th 2027 till the afternoon on April 18th 2027(inclusive of lunch)."
- For the remaining participants who have not opted for accommodation and meals, only lunch will be provided during the official hours of the competition.
- Should teams arrive a day before or on the dates mentioned in point no 27.1, arrangements for accommodation and meals must be borne by the team.
- Accommodation and food arrangements under this Rule are applicable only to Indian Teams and to International Teams that opt for physical participation. International Teams participating virtually are not entitled to, and shall not be charged for, accommodation or food.

22. Certificate

Participants must attend the valedictory ceremony, which will be on 18th April,2027. Participants who do not attend the valedictory ceremony will not be awarded a participation certificate. A physical copy of the certificates will be provided to the participants and all the award holders.

23. Important dates

01	Registration start date	**August 28th 2026**
02	Registration end date	**February 15th 2027**
03	Date of Communication of Acceptance of Registration	**February 25th 2027**
04	Memorial Submission	**March 15th 2027**
05	Researcher's Test	**April 16th 2027**
06	Inaugural	**April 16th 2027**
07	Preliminary Round	**April 17th 2027**
08	Octa Finals	**April 17th 2027**
09	Quarter Finals	**April 17th 2027**
10	Semi-Finals	**April 18th 2027**
11	Finals	**April 18th 2027**
12	Valedictory	**April 18th 2027**

APPENDIX I
STRUCTURE OF MEMORIALS

01. Document Format

Each Memorial must be submitted in a single file in the following Microsoft Word format: letter size, 8.5 x 11 inches (21.6 × 27.9 cm), or A4 size, with equal margins of at least one inch (2.54 cm) on all four sides, font and size in Times New Roman 12-point, double-spaced.
Footnotes must be 10-point size and be single-spaced.
Page Limit: The Memorial shall not exceed 40 pages in length. Any Memorial exceeding the prescribed page limit shall be subject to a penalty in the Memorial evaluation.

APPENDIX I
STRUCTURE OF MEMORIALS

02. Content

The Memorials must comprise:

- Front cover – with team number on the top right-hand corner followed by "A" for APPLICANT Memorial and "R" for RESPONDANT Memorial (e.g. team number 8301 would put "8301-R"), name of the tribunal (e.g. Kuala Lumpur Regional Centre for Arbitration), year of the Competition, name of the case and title (e.g. "Memorial for Respondent");
- Table of Contents;
- Index of Authorities – list of all legal authorities cited in the Memorial with the page number(s) of the Memorial on which the authority is cited;
- Statement of Jurisdiction;
- Questions Presented;
- Statement of Facts - the given facts and any relevant inferences from the moot problem and clarifications or corrections (if any) to the moot problem. The Statement of the Facts should exclude any uncorroborated facts, alteration of given facts, contrary statements and legal arguments. Memorials will be judged according to the conformity of the facts to legal arguments without producing new facts or making unreasonable inferences from the moot problem.
- Summary of Pleadings – an objective and substantial summary of the Pleadings of the Memorial; and
- Pleadings (including Conclusion/Prayer for Relief) – substantive, confirmatory legal argument or legal interpretation of the facts of the moot problem. Summaries of these legal arguments may be included in the Questions Presented and the Summary of Pleadings.

- 1.3 Citation
  - Endnotes are not permitted. Footnotes must be used for citation of authorities and sources of statements made in the Memorial only and must not include any substantive pleadings. Citations in the Index of Authorities and Footnotes of the Memorial must include a description of each authority so that a reasonable reader may find the authority in a publication.

Competition Format

01. Mode of Competition

The 2nd International Moot Court Competition, 2027, shall be conducted in a **hybrid format** to encourage broad participation from institutions across the globe.

- **Indian Teams:** Physical participation at Presidency School of Law, Presidency University, Bengaluru, India.
- **International Teams:** Participation either virtually or physically, at the discretion of the participating institution.
- **The hybrid format** is intended to promote international accessibility while ensuring a rigorous and enriching advocacy experience for all participants.

02. Competition Rounds

The Competition shall comprise the following stages

1.	**Memorial Round**	Submission of memorials on behalf of both the Prosecution and the Defence.
2.	**Researcher's Test**	Assessment of participants' legal research skills and understanding of the moot proposition through objective and analytical questions.
3.	**Preliminary Oral Rounds**	Each team shall argue once, as the prosecution or the defence.
4.	**Octa-Final Rounds**	The highest-ranking teams from the Preliminary Rounds shall advance to the Octa-Final Rounds. Knock-out rounds based on the cumulative performance of teams in the preceding rounds.
5.	**Quarter-Final and Semi-Final Rounds**	Knock-out rounds based on the cumulative performance of teams in the preceding rounds.
6.	**Final Round**	The finalist teams shall argue before an eminent bench comprising distinguished judges, senior academicians, and legal practitioners.

Competition Format

03. Eligibility

- The Competition is open to students enrolled in three-year LL.B., five-year integrated LL.B., LL.M., or equivalent law programmes offered by recognised universities and institutions in India and abroad.
- **Each team shall consist of three members, comprising either :**
  - Two Speakers and One Researcher.

04. Team Composition and Participation

- Each institution may provisionally register one team.
- Additional teams may be permitted subject to seat availability and approval by the Organising Committee.
- The decision of the Organising Committee regarding eligibility and participation shall be final and binding.

Registration

Interested teams shall register through the official online registration portal.

☞ **Link for registration:** https://forms.gle/ZvHnwwYSwCDec8My7

Participant Type	Category	Registration Fee
Registration Fee for **Indian Teams**	With Accommodation	₹9,000 /per team
	Without Accommodation	₹4,500 /per team
Registration Fee for **International Teams**	International Teams (Virtual Participation)	USD $125
	International Teams (Physical Participation)	USD $125*

**Accommodation, travel, visa, and related expenses shall be borne by the participating team unless otherwise notified by the Organising Committee. However, the Organising Committee shall provide an official invitation letter and participation confirmation, upon request, to support visa applications.*

Tentative Schedule

	Activity	Date
01	Release of Moot Proposition	15 December 2026
02	Last Date for Team Registration	15 February 2027
03	Clarification Window	16–22 February 2027
04	Release of Clarifications	28 February 2027
05	Submission of Memorials	15 March 2027
06	Researcher's Test	16 April 2027
07	Preliminary Oral Rounds	17 April 2027
08	Octa Rounds and Quarter-Final Rounds	17 April 2027
09	Semi-Final Rounds	18 April 2027
10	Final Round and Valedictory Ceremony	18 April 2027

Awards and Recognition



	Award Category	Recognition
01	Champion Team	**₹75,000/-**
02	Runner-Up Team	**₹50,000/-**
03	Best Oralist (Prelim Round)	**₹20,000/-**
04	Best International Team	**₹35,000/-**
05	Best Memorial	**₹10,000/-**
06	Second Best Memorial	**₹5,000/-**
07	Best Researcher	**₹10,000/-**
08	Second Best Researcher	**₹5,000/-**
09	All Participants	**Participation Certificate**

Note: Prize money will be awarded in the official currency of the winning team's country.

- Certificates of Merit may be awarded to outstanding performers at the discretion of the Organising Committee.
- The cash prize amounts shall be announced separately by the Organising Committee.
- All awards shall be presented during the Valedictory Ceremony.

Organising Committee

Patron-in-Chief	**Dr. Nissar Ahmed** Chancellor, Presidency University
Patron	**Mr. Suhael Ahmed** Vice President, Presidency University **Mr. Salman Ahmed** Vice President, Presidency University
Co-Patron	**Dr. SJ Thiruvengadam** Vice Chancellor, Presidency University **Dr. Dinesh Nilkant** Provost, Presidency University
Chairperson	**Prof. (Dr.) Saroj Sharma** Dean, Presidency School of Law
Faculty Convenors	**Pallavi Chhabaria** **Priyanka Mangaraj**

Moot Court Society Core Committee

Vibhansh Convenor	**Dibyadas** Co Convenor	**Tanuja Karri** Research Head	**Pritisha Mahinta** Co Research Head	**Ananta Handa** Co Research Head
Anshu Kumar Organising Head	**Kshitiz Mishra** Co Organising Head	**Smriti Arora** External Communications Head	**Ruposri Biswas** Co External Communications Head	



Contact Information

Organising Committee 2nd International Moot Court Competition, 2027
Presidency School of Law, Presidency University, Bengaluru, India

E : mca@presidencyuniversity.in
W : https://presidencyuniversity.in/events/international-moot-court
Ph : 9064806978, 8434682061

Scan to Register